

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT
LITIGATION

Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB

**[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING
MOTION TO DISMISS CASES FOR FAILURE
TO COMPLY WITH COURT ORDER**

This Document Relates to:

Jane Roe CL 125 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-02233-CRB

Jane Roe CL 128 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-02497-CRB

L.L. (5) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-03742-CRB

Roe CL 148 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-03812-CRB

Roe CL 147 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-03811-CRB

Roe CL 149 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-03813-CRB

Roe CL 150 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-03815-CRB

Jane Roe CL 151 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-03816-CRB

Jane Doe CL 156 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-03944-CRB

Jane Roe CL 158 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-04038-CRB

Jane Roe CL 160 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-04205-CRB

[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING MOTION TO DISMISS CASES
FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH COURT ORDER

Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB

1 *Jane Roe CL 161 v. Uber Technologies,*
2 *Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-04206-CRB*

3 *Jane Roe CL 163 v. Uber Technologies,*
4 *Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-04386-CRB*

5 *T.S. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No.*
6 *3:24-cv-00635-CRB*

7 *C.B. (2) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,*
8 *No. 3:25-cv-01961-CRB*

9 *Jane Doe LS 596 v. Uber Technologies,*
10 *Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-04069-CRB*

11 *Jane Doe LS 597 v. Uber Technologies,*
12 *Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-04070-CRB*

13 *Jane Doe LS 598 v. Uber Technologies,*
14 *Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-04071-CRB*

15 *Jane Roe Cl 165 v. Uber Technologies,*
16 *Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-04589-CRB*

17 *Jane Roe Cl 166 v. Uber Technologies,*
18 *Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-04591-CRB*

19 *S.Y. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No.*
20 *3:25-cv-04629-CRB*

21 *Jane Doe LS 600 v. Uber Technologies,*
22 *Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-04631-CRB*

23 *T.T. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No.*
24 *3:25-cv-04647-CRB*

25 *Jane Roe CL 167 v. Uber Technologies,*
26 *Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-04670-CRB*

27 *Jane Roe CL 169 v. Uber Technologies,*
28 *Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-04672-CRB*

Jane Doe LS 601 v. Uber Technologies,
Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-04699-CRB

Jane Roe Cl 170 v. Uber Technologies,
Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-04705-CRB

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Having considered Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Cases for Failure to Comply with Court Order, the Court finds that the Plaintiffs subject to Defendants' Motion have violated Pretrial Order No. 10 by failing to provide complete and verified Plaintiff Fact Sheets. Plaintiffs' failure to provide complete and verified fact sheets has caused prejudice to Uber.

The Court therefore hereby ORDERS as follows:

1. Each Plaintiff subject to Defendants' Motion must provide a complete and verified Plaintiff Fact Sheet within 14 days of this Order.
2. Uber shall submit a declaration within 21 days of this Order, identifying which, if any, Plaintiffs have not complied with the Court's Order as of that date.
3. If any Plaintiffs disagree with their inclusion in Uber's declaration, they shall submit a declaration within 28 days of this Order, identifying the date when such Plaintiffs submitted a complete and verified Plaintiff Fact Sheet.
4. The Court will dismiss with prejudice the claims of any Plaintiff who does not comply with Paragraph 1 of this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____, 2025 _____

HON. CHARLES R. BREYER
United States District Court Judge